

you to reject the Scofield amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Beutler, then Senator Withem.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I am not sure there is a whole lot to be said about this particular amendment except it is not altogether clear about how it is going to operate. I indicated to Senator Scofield before, and I think that everybody who is in favor of the bill means this in good faith, that this committee that is being set up that is part of 662, a special committee, and I am sure that Senator Scofield and Senator Lamb will be a part of that committee, at least they will be to the extent that I have a vote in that, that committee should be dealing with precisely this kind of situation, the hardship situations, the unusual situations, the little bits and pieces of this puzzle that perhaps we didn't get quite all put together in exactly the way we would like, but I think that in order to do that intelligently, to really do that intelligently, we really need to take that committee out around the state and see what is happening and clearly identify the different types of situations that might be appropriate for remedial legislation. And it just seems to me that precisely what Senator Scofield is asking for here, the type of thing that she is taking a look at, and rightly so, should be the subject matter of the committee this summer and fall. So I would just suggest that it would be more appropriate to do this at a later point in time. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Withem is next. However, I have...ladies and gentlemen, I have a complaint that you should be in your seats. (Gavel.) Gentlemen, you should be in your seats. The ladies are already there. Thank you. Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, Mr. President, I would merely rise to ask if we might be able to divide these questions, vote on one and three on the amendment 1005 initially and then after that deal with Section 2.

SPEAKER NICHOL: I don't think it is divisible. It was brought back for a specific amendment, and after it is brought back, as I understand the rule, it is not supposed to be divided after that unless it is stated so beforehand,